

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UpiTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Andress; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Ber 1990 (Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,689	06/29/2001	Robert Jacques	ACX-135	8713
7590 10/10/2006		EXAMINER		
Cymer, Inc. Legal Dept. MS/ 4-2C 17075 Thornmint Court			HARTMAN JR, RONALD D	
San Diego, CA 92128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5 ,			2121	
			DATE MAIL CD. 10/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Intonious Cummons	09/896,689	JACQUES, ROBERT				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronald D. Hartman Jr.	2121				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Ronald D. Hartman Jr.	(3)					
(2) Wesley Strickland.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>18 September 2006</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)  applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-9 and 11-67</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On 9/18/2006, the attorney of record was contacted in response to a "Printer Rush" issued by the USPTO. The examiner was directed to have the applicant provide a clearer version of the pending claims. The applicant provided this clearer version of the claims by submitting them to the Rightfax account of the examiner of record on 9/18/2006. This letter serves to reiterate this point.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	•					
	•					
	<b>a</b>					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

**Interview Summary** 

Paper No. 20061001

Examiner's signature, if required